Introduction – What is Being Monitored
The Board’s Grade 3 English Language Arts (ELA) goal is to increase the percent of Black and Hispanic 3rd grade students combined who score at the College and Career Ready (CCR) level -- a Level 4 or 5 -- in English Language Arts. Our annual target in this area for SY2022-23 is 36%.

In addition to the annual target, the Board set two Interim Goals, one for Black Students and a second for Hispanic students. The Interim Goal and Target for the percentage of Black Grade 3 students projected to be College and Career Ready (CCR) at the end of the school year based on Winter ELA Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) assessment is 30%.

The focus of this progress monitoring summary is Grade 3 English Language Arts (ELA) performance of Black students only.

The two (2) primary metrics shared in this monitoring report are:

- Grade 3 Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) ELA performance, and
- Grade 3 End-of-Grade (EOG) ELA performance.

MAP projected proficiency rates provide Board and staff with an indicator of where our Black 3rd graders are as of the Winter MAP administration in English Language Arts (ELA) in relation to the Board’s ELA End-of-Year annual target.

The Winter Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) assessment administration window was from January 26th – February 10th. Schools could choose to administer the MAP assessment at any time in the window. The creators of MAP assessments, NWEA (formerly the Northwest Evaluation Association), have done multiple analyses of North Carolina students’ performance on their MAP assessments and the state’s End-of-Grade (EOG) assessments. Those analyses have resulted in a linking study, documenting the predictive relationship between performance on MAP assessments and later on EOG assessments. The most recent study was published in November 2021. These studies have established that a school or system can project if a student will score a Level 4 or 5 on the North Carolina EOG in ELA based on MAP assessment performance. The linking study documents that there will be instances where the projection is off, as with any assessment. However, approximately 80-83% of the time the projection is accurate.

Evaluation of Current Performance:
At the conclusion of the Winter MAP assessment administration window, 8.1% of Black Grade 3 students were projected to Score CCR – Level 4 or 5 – on the Grade 3 ELA End-of-Grade (EOG) exam. Based on these data, we are Below Target (see Graph1 below).

Supporting Data
Measure of Academic Progress (MAP)
The percentage of Black Grade 3 students projected to score Level 4 or 5 based on the Winter MAP assessment has increased. In SY2021-22, 6.8% of Black 3rd graders were projected to score Level 4 or 5. In SY2023-23 that percentage rose to 8.1 percent. This percentage is 282 of the 3,468 students tested. For thirty-six percent (36%) of Black 3rd graders to score Level 4 or 5 at the conclusion of the year on the English Language Arts EOG exam, an additional 967 students will need to improve on their current
Four-hundred and thirty-two (432) Black 3rd graders are projected to score a Level 3. If
they each score a Level 4 or 5, an additional 535 black students currently projected to score Not
Proficient would also need to score Level 4 or 5 to achieve the annual target of 36% on the EOG.

Graph 1. Percent of Black Students Projected to Score CCR (Level 4 and Level 5) based on Measure of
Academic Progress (MAP) Assessment Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter MAP (Projection)</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-of-Grade (Actual)</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Goal</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: In SY2019-20, Winter MAP assessments were administered before the district went to full remote instruction. Additionally, the 2019-20 CCR projections were based on a 2016 linking study. Projections for SY2020-21, SY2021-22, or SY2022-23 are based on an updated 2021 linking study.

Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)
In addition to the Winter MAP assessments, 3rd graders were also administered the state required Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS) Middle-of-Year assessment. This assessment tells us that students in this subgroup are progressing. Analysis of the information from MAP and DIBELS together gives us insight into students’ language skills (with the MAP assessment), and decoding skills (with the DIBELS assessment). Overall, 3,434 Black 3rd grade students took both assessments, the Winter 2022-23 MAP assessment and Middle-of-Year DIBELS assessment. Of those 3,434, we see that 55% (1,889) of Black 3rd graders need both decoding and language support, 37% (1,271) of Black 3rd graders have strong decoding skills, but need additional language support, and 8% (274) of Black 3rd graders have strong decoding skills and strong language skills.

Looking at this cohort’s performance the year prior, In Winter 2021-22 when they were 2nd graders, we can see the progress. Last Winter, a total of 3,559 Black 2nd grade students took both assessments, 63% (2,116) of Black 2nd graders needed both decoding and language support, 30% (1,007) of Black 2nd graders had strong decoding skills, but needed additional language support, and 7% (235) of Black 2nd graders had strong decoding skills and strong language skills.
**Student Microphase Data**

All K-3 students are also assessed using a microphase assessment. This short assessment tells a teacher where specifically a student is on the K-2 continuum of reading foundational skills (see Appendix A below) and what the student needs to be taught specifically. It is essential that students master each skill on the continuum to be proficient readers. Schools monitor this formative data at the school and classroom level to inform lesson planning and student supports.

**Impact of the Pandemic**

As stated previously, this most current cohort of Black 3rd graders experienced pandemic induced disruptions to instruction that has left them behind. In 2019-20, the current cohort of 3rd graders were in kindergarten. The pandemic forced them to finish the entire 4th quarter of that school year remotely (see Table 1 below). Kindergarteners were provided access to packets of instructional materials in two-week intervals. In 2020-21, we began their first grade year still in remote, shifting to hybrid in second quarter, having to briefly return to remote instruction in response to a surge of the Delta variant. It was not until 2021-22, when they began 2nd grade, did we return to in-person instruction, albeit with teacher shortages.

| Table 1. Instructional Experience of Current 3rd Grade Students in Grades K-2 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Grade | Kindergarten | Grade 1 | Grade 2 |
| School Year | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
| Quarter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Instructional Modality | In-person | Remote | In-person or Remote | Hybrid or Remote | Hybrid or Remote | In-person |

**Actions Planned or Underway**

1) **District Data Analysis & Problem Solving:** District-level data analysis of the assessment measures above took place with the Goal 1 Focus Area Team. Reports were shared for feedback with Learning Community Superintendents, the Academic Chiefs and the entire Cabinet Executive Staff. As a result, the following actions were determined:

- Goal Team is ensuring a consistent understanding across departments, including with Learning Community staff and all personnel supporting schools, of: a) current state; b) how to determine what students need most; c) how to support schools with ensuring each student is receiving the appropriate and highest leverage core instructional response based on assessment data (language acquisition, decoding, language); and d) when a student is in need of intervention in addition to core instruction (see Appendix B below).

- Learning & Teaching is enhancing the focus in professional development on the use of district resources that support areas indicated by student assessment data.

- Accountability provided updated projected CCR school-level data to each Learning Community based on MAP performance.

---

1 The Board voted in January 14, 2021 for students in elementary and K-8 schools to return to hybrid instruction beginning on February 15, 2022.
- Learning Community staff determine each school’s current state relative to School Improvement Plan goals, and determines each school’s rate of growth with students.

- Learning Community staff determine which schools would benefit from additional Central Office support. Additionally, centrally staffed Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) specialists are deployed to schools needing assistance with their support of students in the area of decoding, as well as with intervention for students significantly below grade level.

2) **School-Based Data Analysis & Problem Solving:**
- School teams attended professional development on Feb 14th and 16th, 2023. At these facilitated sessions, school teams worked together to analyze mid-year student data to create targeted school-level Quarter 3 and 4 action plans. Learning Community staff are aligning their school visits and the deployment of Central Office specialist support to the strategies that schools have determined are needed for their students as they implement strategies in their action plans.

- School leaders will bring updates on action step implementation to the upcoming district-wide Leadership Meeting on Mar 21, 2023. A portion of the March Leadership Meeting will be dedicated to meeting with schools to share and hear others’ progress on action plans.

3) **Share Best Practices:**
- Learning & Teaching is collaborating with EL Education to further enhance the level of curriculum implementation of 9 elementary Demonstration Sites (1 per Learning Community) to serve as models for other schools.

- Goal Team will partner with the Communications team to video teachers identified by student achievement data and EL Education consultants as exemplar classrooms, highlighting classrooms of possibility across the district and celebrating the work of teachers.

4) **Use of the MORE units of Study and Student App:**
- This year third grade students will participate in one unit of science and one unit of social studies lessons. These lessons leverage content from EL module units to build knowledge in other content areas. Lessons are highly engaging and support interdisciplinary literacy.

- Students have access to a MORE student app which provides reading extensions related to science and social studies topics they are studying in the MORE units.

5) **Leverage Strategic Partners:**
- Central Office will analyze data for students participating in externally-provided tutoring programs.

6) **Align Summer Programming:**
- Central office and schools will use current student data to communicate with families about available summer programming to continue learning for their students this summer.

- Expanded Learning will collaborate with external partners to provide summer programming aligned with state standards and student needs.
Requests of the Board

Staff asks three things of the Board to support our pursuit of this Grade 3 ELA Goal:

1) Continue to encourage timely student enrollment in school and supplemental programs such as Pre-Kindergarten, Extended Day and both out of school and in-school tutoring programs;

2) Continue to advocate for programs and messaging in the community that encourage student attendance on a daily basis; and

3) Encourage student enrollment in summer programming to ensure continuity of learning for students, particularly students in need of continued reading foundational skill instruction to be support their ability to read at or above grade level.

Appendices

Appendix A

Scarborough’s Reading Rope:

Source: Scarborough (2001), reposted by the International Dyslexia Association

Summary of supports needed based on Winter MAP and DIBELS:
Identifying supports needed for Black students in grade 3 (Winter 2022-23)

How are our students doing on language and decoding?

**Strong decoding skills and strong language skills**

- 8%

With strong skills in both recognizing written words and understanding spoken language, these students are able to comprehend what they read.

**Strong language skills but need decoding support**

- 0%

These students understand spoken language well but struggle to read tests. They need additional decoding supports to help them access the content.

**Strong decoding skills but need language support**

- 37%

Those students can decode text easily but cannot explain what they read. With additional language development, they will be able to access the content.

**Need both decoding support and language support**

- 55%

These students struggle to understand spoken language and decode texts. They need support in both areas.

92 percent of students with data from both tests need support with language, according to MAP Reading data from Winter 2022-23. EL Reading Foundational Skills Block (K-2) and ALL Block (Grade 3) develop students’ decoding skills in a systematic way. The MORE app also supports students’ decoding.

55 percent of students with data from both tests need support with decoding, according to DIBELS data from Winter 2022-23. EL Reading Foundational Skills Block (K-2) and ALL Block (Grade 3) develop students’ decoding skills in a systematic way. The MORE app also supports students’ decoding.

Identifying supports needed by grade level (Winter 2021-22)

Research has shown that a student’s reading comprehension relies on two broad domains of literacy skills:

1. **Language**: the ability to understand spoken language
2. **Decoding**: the ability to recognize written words

The key implication for supporting literacy is that students need both. To do well on measures of reading comprehension such as the EOG, students need to be able to decode written passages and make meaning of the text using their language and content knowledge. When students are proficient in both language and decoding, then they can fully comprehend what they read.

Looking at data from MAP Reading and DIBELS can provide insight into areas where our students especially need support for developing their reading skills. For a measure of language skills, we use Winter MAP Reading data and identify students as having strong skills if they score in Levels 4 and 5 (e.g., CCR) based on Winter benchmarks. For a measure of decoding skills, we use Winter DIBELS Composite scores and identify students as having strong skills if they score at or above benchmark.

**Strong decoding skills and strong language skills**

- 7%

With strong skills in both recognizing written words and understanding spoken language, these students are able to comprehend what they read.

**Strong language skills but need decoding support**

- 0%

These students understand spoken language well but struggle to read tests. They need additional decoding supports to help them access the content.

**Strong decoding skills but need language support**

- 30%

Those students can decode text easily but cannot explain what they read. With additional language development, they will be able to access the content.

**Need both decoding support and language support**

- 63%

These students struggle to understand spoken language and decode texts. They need support in both areas.

93 percent of students with data from both tests need support with language, according to MAP Reading data from Winter 2021-22. EL Reading Foundational Skills Block (K-2) and ALL Block (Grade 3) develop students’ decoding skills in a systematic way. The MORE app also supports students’ decoding.

63 percent of students with data from both tests need support with decoding, according to DIBELS data from Winter 2021-22. EL Reading Foundational Skills Block (K-2) and ALL Block (Grade 3) develop students’ decoding skills in a systematic way. The MORE app also supports students’ decoding.

*Note that the benchmarks for projected CCR are now based on the new linking study, which has higher cut scores than the previous study. This change in benchmarks contributed to the increase in the percentage of students identified as needing language support since Fall of 2021-22, when the old benchmarks were still in place.*
### Module Block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module Block</th>
<th>60 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **On grade level instruction with social studies and science content integrated within each lesson and across each unit.**
| **Scaffolds, including Language Dives, are incorporated with lessons to enable students in need with resources to access the on-grade level instruction.**

### 2nd Grade Skills Block

| **Whole Group (on grade level):** | 15 minutes per day |
| **Small Group (based on student data):** | 15 mins/group - frequency across the week depends on needs of students |

**Data Used:** DIBELS, Microphase data,

**Sample Groupings and Differentiation of Teacher Led Groups Aligned to Microphase & Cycle Needs:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Monday</strong></th>
<th><strong>Tuesday</strong></th>
<th><strong>Wednesday</strong></th>
<th><strong>Thursday</strong></th>
<th><strong>Friday</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Led Writing and Art</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Teacher Led Writing and Art</td>
<td>Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Work Teacher Led Fluency</td>
<td>World Work</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>World Work Teacher Led Fluency</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Work Art</td>
<td>World Work</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>World Work Teacher Led Fluency</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Led Writing and Art</td>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Teacher Led Writing and Art</td>
<td>Writing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Independent Practice:** Students work on intentionally selected tasks aligned to their microphase and cycle needs, in addition to Accountable Independent Reading (AIR)

### 3rd Grade ALL Block

**ALL Block = Additional Language & Literacy**

**‘Flexible & Fluid’ Small Groups (based on student data) Data Used: MAP, Mid-End Unit Assessments, Module Student Work, ALL Block Student Work**

**Teacher-Led Small Group Instruction - approximately 20 minutes with EACH group EVERY day as outlined in the M4 Catch & Release.** Students apply skills learned during Modules in the areas of:

- Reading and Speaking Fluency/GUM,
- Additional Work with Complex Text,
- Writing Practice
- Word Study/Vocabulary

**Additional 30 minutes**

**Intervention or What Students Need beyond the 120 minutes**

Interventions are selected from **Standard Treatment Protocol (STP)**, for example: teacher-directed small groups using EL Skills Block materials and/or Orton-Gillingham strategies, based on student need.

**Additional small-group instruction or intervention for students on a supplemental/intensive plan.**

Interventions are selected from **Standard Treatment Protocol (STP)**, for example: teacher-directed small groups using EL Skills Block materials and/or Orton-Gillingham strategies, based on student need.

**Additional small-group instruction or intervention for students on a supplemental/intensive plan.**

**Additional 30 minutes**

**Intervention or What Students Need beyond the 120 minutes**

Interventions are selected from **Standard Treatment Protocol (STP)**, for example: teacher-directed small groups using EL Skills Block materials and/or Orton-Gillingham strategies, based on student need.

**Additional small-group instruction or intervention for students on a supplemental/intensive plan.**

Interventions are selected from **Standard Treatment Protocol (STP)**, for example: teacher-directed small groups using EL Skills Block materials and/or Orton-Gillingham strategies, based on student need.